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ABSTRACT

For the last few years, the property business has developed quite rapidly in Denpasar City, the capital of Bali Province. Jl. Teuku Umar Barat is one of the main roads in the city. It has several vacant lands which have not been utilized so that they do not provide maximum economic benefits. To maximize the economic benefits Highest and Best Use (HBU) study is needed. The results of HBU analysis show that the alternative mixed-use of a hotel with 3,645 m² and a shophouse with 8,910 m² will result in an NPV value of IDR 187,637,261,135 and the land value of IDR 120,932,881,135 or an increase of 39.11% from its initial value.
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INTRODUCTION

Denpasar City as the capital of Bali Province, in the last few years the property business has experienced a rapid development. People high mobility of to and from Denpasar influences the high interest in investment in the property sector. Since tourism substantially contributes to government revenue (Runtunuwu, 2020), Bali’s tourism industry and its derivatives remain the main driving factor for economic growth in which tourism accommodation, such as hotels and villas, as well as tourism supporting facilities, such as shops, restaurants and malls, dominate its property business development.

Jl. Teuku Umar Barat is one of the main roads in Denpasar, connecting Central Business District (CBD) with the Petitenget tourism area in Badung Regency. This area provides several hotels in which for last few years, the property business development has begun to increase along with shopping areas construction. Nevertheless, its existing vacant lands obviously do not provide any economic benefits. Therefore, Highest and Best Use (HBU) study is beneficial to maximize their economic benefits.

HBU covers the most possible and optimal use of an asset, which is physically possible. It has been considered sufficiently, legally permissible, financially feasible to produce the highest productivity value of the property (“Kode etik penilai,” 2018, p.10). The HBU analysis is to determine whether the property is utilized for its most profitable permitted use in a growing area.

Uncommonly, a change of structure is best to maximize the value of the land (Detty, 2011, p. 58). Mahardika et al (2013) argued that HBU would yield the highest benefits if
it was developed as a mixed used building as a hotel and souvenir shop. Bravi & Rossi (2012) contended that the flexibility in real estate investment is related to the alternative uses embedded in the land and to the characteristics of the building. Thus, the value of vacant lands should bespeak not only the value based on best immediate use, but also its option value, if the development is delayed and the land is converted into best alternative use in the future. It shows the limits of traditional analysis (Discounted Cash Flow Model) to capture flexibility in the real estate investment.

RESEARCH METHOD

The Highest and Best Use (HBU) principle is the basic theory of property valuation, with the market value being established on the assumption that an object is in demand in its segment of the competitive market, its use is justified, the object meets the HBU criteria and the value potential of the object. It comprises four criteria including legally permissible, physically possible, financially feasible, and producing the highest productivity. Figure 1 adequately describes these criteria.

**Step I: Estimating Value as If the Land Were Vacant**
- a. Estimate the value of land as if it were vacant and available for any permitted use (if necessary tear down the existing building if it not result in the maximum value to the owner.
- b. When evaluating ideal use, looks at four test for the highest and best use:
  1) Is the ideal use legally permitted on the property?
  2) Is it physically possible for the ideal structure to be built on the property?
  3) Is the ideal use economically feasible (or financially feasible) on the property?
  4) Is the ideal use the most profitable (or maximally productive) on the property?

**Step II: Estimating Value as the Land is Currently Improved**
Comparing the property with other comparable sales that have similar existing buildings

**Step III: Final Determination of Highest and Best Use**
- a. Compare the two estimate of value derived in steps one and two
- b. The highest value is usually said to be the highest and best use for the property

Figure 1. HBU Frameworks
*Source: Detty (2011)*

Ozerov et al (2017) explained that in selecting the HBU of investment assets, the optimal number of floors of the enhancement must be determined for legally allowed and physically possible options, cash flows must be substantiated in detail, as well as their change and rate of return on capital. In addition, the uncertainty of the initial data must be considered and the relative error of the expected value must be estimated.

Discounted Cash Flow (DCF) analysis is a procedure in which the discount rate applied to the series of revenue streams and reversion. DCF is suitable for use on some regular and irregular income patterns. The disadvantage of DCF is greatly influenced by the projection of income, as changes in revenue projections will have a significant effect on the property value (“The Appraisal of,” 2008, pp. 539-540)
DCF is simply referred to as the multiperiod income discounting method, which is a model based on the idea that financial investment is the value of the total benefits which would come to be provided to the owner, each discounted to a present value at a discount rate which reflects the time value of money and the level of risk on the expected number. Prawoto (2012:443) proposed the formula to convert future benefits to present value by discounting the projected cash flows of income, as follows:

\[
\text{Present Value} = \frac{\text{NOI}_1}{(1+i)^1} + \frac{\text{NOI}_2}{(1+i)^2} + \ldots + \frac{\text{NOI}_n}{(1+i)^n} + \text{TV}
\]

where,
- NOI : Net Operating Income
- i : Discount Rate
- TV : Terminal Value
- N : Year

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This section presents all analysis results comprising the steps, and the discussion. The steps are value estimations, economic feasibility estimation, and profitability estimation. The discussion of each is brought up below.

Step One: Estimating Value as if the Land Were Vacant
The object of research is a vacant land located at Jl. Teuku Umar Barat, Padangsambian Village. The site has irregular shape with elevation equal to the road surface and flat topography. The valuation of vacant land is carried out using a comparative market approach with the market data comparison method. Based on market data around the location and after several adjustments to the characteristics of the object, the estimated value of the vacant land is IDR 86,931,000,000.

To determine the appropriate alternative properties to build, interviews and questionnaires were given to parties who knew well the surrounding area, namely residents and the government. The initial alternatives included in the questionnaire were obtained from direct observation by taking into account the types of properties which have been built around the object location and were permitted by government regulations. Based on the questionnaire, the types of property with the highest points were hotels, restaurants and shop houses. These three alternatives will be used as a commercial property in the form of a mixed-use building. Analysis of the legal aspects is carried out to ensure that the alternative development selection that has been carried out and the building planning is in accordance with government regulations and the Regional Spatial Plan of Denpasar City.

In accordance with the Regional Regulation of the Denpasar City Government No. 27 of 2011 about Regional Spatial Plan of Denpasar City for 2011-2031, the research object is in the Allocated Trade & Services Area, with property development permitted for tourism accommodation, shophouses, malls, markets, hospitals and educational facilities. The provisions for the building coverage ratio for the location of the Object are 50% from land. The provisions for the floor average ratio are five times of the building coverage ratio, 16 meters from the road axle, and the maximum building height is 15
meters in 5 floors. The provisions for land plots of 5,000 m² to 10,000 m², public facilities in the form of roads with a width of 8 meter to 10 meter are required. By these requirements, the available alternatives are presented in Table 1

Table 1. Alternative Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alternative</th>
<th>Alternative I (m²)</th>
<th>Alternative II (m²)</th>
<th>Alternative III (m²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hotel</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shophouse</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 floor</td>
<td>3.715</td>
<td>3.672</td>
<td>3.699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 floor</td>
<td>3.715</td>
<td>3.672</td>
<td>3.699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 floor</td>
<td>3.715</td>
<td>3.672</td>
<td>3.699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 floor</td>
<td>3.715</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 floor</td>
<td>3.715</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>18.575</td>
<td>11.016</td>
<td>12.555</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the aforementioned provisions, the properties permitted to be built are commercial properties, such as five-story hotels and restaurants high, and three-story shop buildings with a public facility of a 10-meter road.

In addition, physical aspect analysis includes object location, land accessibility, size, elevation, topography and land shape. The results of direct observation, the area is located in one of the trade centre areas in Denpasar City. It has hotels, shops, and warehouses. Legally permitted products are then screened at a later stage. Physical studies, namely what uses are suitable or physically possible to develop on the site, are made under the following considerations.

- Site Characteristic
  - Shape of Land: Irregular
  - Topography: Flat
  - Elevation: The same as road surface
  - View: Cityscaped
  - Accessability: Easy access from main road
  - Surroundings: Well developed with various trade & service facilities
  - Infrastructure: Network availability of electricity, water, telephone and internet

Based on the characteristics and the location of the object, it concludes that hotels (Alternative I), shophouses (Alternative II), and a combination of shophouses and hotels (Alternative III) are physically possible to develop.

Step Two: Estimating Land Value of Economic or Financial Feasibility
Legally permitted and physically possible products will go the next stage, namely the financial aspect. It emphasizes the product must be financially feasible. This study defined what property products are marketable and financially feasible to develop on the site. Thus, market studies were carried out by considering economic conditions and growth, demand and supply of property, property prices, target markets, and competitors
In addition, the study of financial aspects, analysis of development costs, revenue analysis, operational cost analysis, financial projections, and feasibility analysis (NPV, IRR, & B / C Ratio) were conducted.

### Table 2. Market Analysis (Alternative I)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of rooms</th>
<th>Superior Room</th>
<th>Deluxe Room</th>
<th>Family Room</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Area (m²)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Rooms</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate</td>
<td>IDR 339,000</td>
<td>IDR 424,000</td>
<td>IDR 571,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Revenue**
  - Food & Beverage: 43.00% of Room Revenue
  - MOD: 5.00% of Room Revenue

- **Variable Cost**
  - Rooms: 20.00% of Room Revenue
  - Food & Beverage: 50.00% of Food & Beverage Revenue
  - MOD: 30.00% of MOD Revenue

- **Fixed Cost**
  - General & Administration: 10.00% of Total Revenue
  - Marketing Fee: 7.00% of Total Revenue
  - Heat, Light & Power: 7.50% of Total Revenue
  - Repairs & Maintenance: 2.50% of Total Revenue
  - Management Fee: 2.00% of Total Revenue
  - Incentive Fee: 2.50% of Gross Operating Income
  - Reserve for Replacement: 2.00% of Total Revenue
  - Insurance: 0.10% of Building Cost Reproduction New
  - Property tax

### Table 3. Market Analysis (Alternative II)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Shophouse</th>
<th>Area A</th>
<th>Area B</th>
<th>Area C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Area (m²)</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Shophouse</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price</td>
<td>IDR 2,651,940,000</td>
<td>IDR 2,575,800,000</td>
<td>IDR 2,511,000,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Revenue**
  - Shophouse sales estimate for 3 years

- **Expenditure**
  - Administrative & General: 5.00% of Total Revenue
  - Marketing: 2.50% of Total Revenue
  - Property Profit/Incentive: 10.00% of Total Revenue
Table 4. Market Analysis (Alternative III)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A. Hotel</th>
<th>Superior Room</th>
<th>Deluxe Room</th>
<th>Family Room</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Area (m²)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of rooms</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate</td>
<td>IDR 339.000</td>
<td>IDR 424.000</td>
<td>IDR 571.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Facility | Meeting room, restaurant, public pool |

Revenue
- Food & Beverage: 43.00% of Room Revenue
- MOD: 5.00% of Room Revenue

Variable Cost
- Rooms: 20.00% of Room Revenue
- Food & Beverage: 50.00% of Food & Beverage Revenue
- MOD: 30.00% of MOD Revenue

Fixed Cost
- General & Administration: 10.00% of Total Revenue
- Marketing Fee: 5.00% of Total Revenue
- Heat, Light & Power: 7.50% of Total Revenue
- Repairs & Maintenance: 2.50% of Total Revenue
- Management Fee: 2.00% of Total Revenue
- Incentive Fee: 2.50% of Gross Operating Income
- Reserve for Replacement: 2.00% of Total Revenue
- Insurance: 0.10% of Building Cost Reproduction New

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B. Shophouse</th>
<th>Area A</th>
<th>Area B</th>
<th>Area C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type of Shophouse</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area (m²)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price</td>
<td>IDR 2.651.940.000</td>
<td>IDR 2.575.800.000</td>
<td>IDR 2.511.000.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Revenue
- The sales of the shophouse estimate for 3 years

Expenditure
- Administrative & General: 5.00% of Total Revenue
- Marketing: 5.00% of Total Revenue
- Property Profit/Incentive: 10.00% of Total Revenue

Table 5. Financial Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alternative I</th>
<th>Alternative II</th>
<th>Alternative III</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Investment</td>
<td>IDR 187.258.600.000</td>
<td>IDR 36.978.980.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Step Three: Estimating Value for the Most Profitability (or Maximally Productive)

The final stage is maximum production. Alternative development products with the highest NPV (Net Present Value) are optimally produced products and are the concluded products in this analysis or those with the highest and best development products on the site (see Table 6).

**Table 6. Maximum Productivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Alternative I</th>
<th>Alternative II</th>
<th>Alternative III</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Property Value (Improved)</td>
<td>IDR 276,637,783,357</td>
<td>IDR 157,378,772,796</td>
<td>IDR 184,933,663,911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRR</td>
<td>6.09%</td>
<td>12.85%</td>
<td>14.51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B/C Ratio</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>2.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discount Rate</td>
<td>12.25%</td>
<td>12.17%</td>
<td>12.21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conclusion</td>
<td>Not Feasible</td>
<td>Feasible</td>
<td>Feasible</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Development alternatives that pass the testing of legal aspects, physical aspects, and financial aspects will be chosen as the highest productivity since land productivity is an increase in land value as a result of property development on it. To obtain the land value after its construction, the property value is obtained from the NPV value minus the building investment costs. The results of productivity calculations are presented in Table 6. It shows that the highest productivity is obtained from Alternative III, namely hotels (35%), and shophouses (65%). Alternative III provides an increase in land value by 39.11% or IDR 34,001,881,135 more than before.

### CONCLUSIONS

The Highest and Best Use analysis results lead us to a conclusion that the lands on the research object are permitted under the regulations for commercial properties such as tourism accommodation, shop houses, malls, markets, hospitals and educational facilities. Among the alternative questionnaires, it signifies that the commercial properties the most suitable to build in the area are hotels, restaurants and shophouses.

Additionally, the financial analysis and maximum productivity reveal that the alternative mixed-use of hotels with 3,645 m², and shophouses with 8,910 m² produces an NPV value of IDR 187,637,261,135 and a land value of IDR 120,932,881,135. This equals to an increase of 39.11% higher than its original value.


